SINGLE BENCH

(4)

(1)

CF 1007/100

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 389 /2007

PETITIONER : **Yodhan Singh Dewangan**
S/o Shri Gendlal Dewangan
Age about 40 years, Asstt. Teacher,
Govt. Primary School, Paloud,
Block - Arang, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

P.F. No. 392/07
Presented by Shri Rakesh Anthoney
dated 11/12/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
Through :- **Secretary,**
School Education Department,
Mantralaya at D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
11/12/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. **The Director,**
Directorate of Public Instruction,
Pensionbada, Raipur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/96
10/12/07
Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. **District Education Officer**
District Education Office,
Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

4. **Block Education Officer**
Block - Arang, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(20)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 389 OF 2007

Petitioner : Yodhan Singh Dewangan

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 390 OF 2007

Petitioner : Manohar Lal Chandrakar

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Rakesh Anthony, counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the State/ respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 23rd Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as also for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.5862 of 2006 (***Hemant Kumar Vishwakarma*** vs. ***State of Chhattisgarh and others***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 12-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as

(21)

Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.5862 of 2006 (*Hemant Kumar Vishwakarma* vs. *State of Chhattisgarh and others*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Bablu